



Early Journal Content on JSTOR, Free to Anyone in the World

This article is one of nearly 500,000 scholarly works digitized and made freely available to everyone in the world by JSTOR.

Known as the Early Journal Content, this set of works include research articles, news, letters, and other writings published in more than 200 of the oldest leading academic journals. The works date from the mid-seventeenth to the early twentieth centuries.

We encourage people to read and share the Early Journal Content openly and to tell others that this resource exists. People may post this content online or redistribute in any way for non-commercial purposes.

Read more about Early Journal Content at <http://about.jstor.org/participate-jstor/individuals/early-journal-content>.

JSTOR is a digital library of academic journals, books, and primary source objects. JSTOR helps people discover, use, and build upon a wide range of content through a powerful research and teaching platform, and preserves this content for future generations. JSTOR is part of ITHAKA, a not-for-profit organization that also includes Ithaka S+R and Portico. For more information about JSTOR, please contact support@jstor.org.

WORKMEN'S COMPENSATION ACT—EXTRATERRITORIAL OPERATION.—The deceased was employed in a mine whose main entrance and offices were in West Virginia. The mine extended into Maryland, and while working in that part, the deceased was killed. The West Virginia Workmen's Compensation Act contained no provision as to injuries sustained outside of the state. The deceased's widow sued for compensation under the act. *Held*, the act is applicable. *Gooding v. Ott* (W. Va.), 81 S. E. 862. See NOTES, p. 552.

WORKMEN'S COMPENSATION ACT — EXTRATERRITORIAL OPERATION. — The plaintiff, a citizen of New York, was employed by the defendant, a New York corporation, under a contract made in New York. The plaintiff was sent to New Jersey to do certain work there, and was injured while engaged in its performance. The New York Workmen's Compensation Act contained no provision as to injuries sustained outside of the state. The plaintiff instituted proceedings for compensation under the act. *Held*, the act is applicable. *Post v. Burger & Gohlke* (N. Y.), 111 N. E. 351. See NOTES, p. 552.